



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION N	0.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/643,505		08/18/2003	Robert P. Fanguy	20030728	5491	
31346	7590	01/04/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER	
		ITGOMERY	SMITH, JAMES G			
	WNLEE A ARD, LA	VE. 70518-3021		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
				3723	3723	
	,			DATE MAILED: 01/04/2005		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application N .	Applicant(s)				
	10/643,505	FANGUY ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	James G. Smith	3723				
The MAILING DATE of this communication app Period for Reply	ears on the cover sheet with the c	correspondence address				
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period v - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be ting within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from a cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. D (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status						
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on 15 No.	<u>ovember 2004</u> .					
2a)⊠ This action is FINAL . 2b)□ This	action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowar closed in accordance with the practice under E	·					
Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-20 is/are pending in the approximate 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdraw 5) ☐ Claim(s) 1-6 and 8-10 is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 11-20 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or	vn from consideration.					
Application Papers						
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.					
10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the	*	` '				
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex		•				
·	arrimor. Note the attached Office	7.0.1011 01 101111 1 1 102.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119						
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents 2. Certified copies of the priority documents 3. Copies of the certified copies of the prior application from the International Bureau * See the attached detailed Office action for a list 	s have been received. s have been received in Applicati rity documents have been receive u (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	on No ed in this National Stage				
Attachment(s)	<i>"</i> □	(DTO)				
1)	4) L Interview Summary Paper No(s)/Mail Da					
3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)/Mail Date		ratent Application (PTO-152)				

Application/Control Number: 10/643,505 Page 2

Art Unit: 3723

DETAILED ACTION

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

1. The following is a quotation of the second paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:

The specification shall conclude with one or more claims particularly pointing out and distinctly claiming the subject matter which the applicant regards as his invention.

2. Claims 11-17, 19 and 20 are finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

There is no antecedent basis for "said pin" in claim 11.

Claim 19 appears to be a method of making or assembling the device and not a method of applying torque.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

3. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.
- 4. Claim 18 is finally rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being clearly anticipated by Goldschmidt as the reference shows applying torque to a pipe by using a screw to counter rotate two attached sliding jaw wrenches.

Response to Arguments

5. Applicant's arguments filed 15 November 2004 have been fully considered but they are not persuasive.

There are still improper antecedents that have not been fixed in claim 11.

Applicants have argued that claim 19 is clearly drawn to adding additional structure to the tool, i.e. an assembly step, as part of the method of applying torque to a pipe. This means that every time the method of claim 18 is used for the purpose of applying torque, claims 19 and 20 require that a new wrench be manufactured as these claims are drawn only to the method of assembling the wrench. Clearly this has nothing to do with the method of applying torque to a pipe, thus the claims are indefinite.

With respect to claim 18 having novel structure that is not shown in the prior reference to Goldschmidt, such may be the case, however the claim is not drawn to a new apparatus, but to a new method of applying torque to a pipe, thus it is the steps that must define over the prior art and clearly they do not. Goldschmidt performs the same steps for the same purpose, thus the reference anticipates the claimed method steps. The specific structure of the wrench is noted, but is of no patentable significance in the method steps.

Conclusion

6. **THIS ACTION IS MADE FINAL.** Applicant is reminded of the extension of time policy as set forth in 37 CFR 1.136(a).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this final action is set to expire THREE MONTHS from the mailing date of this action. In the event a first reply is filed within TWO MONTHS of the mailing date of this final action and the advisory action is not mailed until after the end of the THREE-MONTH shortened statutory period, then the shortened statutory period will expire on the date the advisory action is mailed, and any

extension fee pursuant to 37 CFR 1.136(a) will be calculated from the mailing date of the advisory action. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of this final action.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to James G. Smith whose telephone number is 571-272-4496. The examiner can normally be reached on M-Th (7:05- 4:35) Fri. off.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Joseph J. Hail, III can be reached on 571-272-4485. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 703-872-9306.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free).

James G. Smith Primary Examiner Art Unit 3723

jgs 01/03/05